

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,543	01/08/2002	Warren B. Jackson	D/A0977	5499
7590 01/23/2007 Mark S. Svat			EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP			YACOB, SISAY	
1100 Superior . Seventh Floor	Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cleveland, OH	Cleveland, OH 44114-2579			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/042,543	JACKSON ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Sisay Yacob	2612			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Fin</u>	al Rejection			
(1) <u>Sisay Yacob</u> .	(3)				
(2) Mark S. Svat.	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>17 January 2007</u>	Time: <u>11:00 AM</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: First Non-Final Rejection					
Claims discussed: 1 and 21					
Prior art documents discussed: U.S. Patent of Muurinen (6,504,492 B1)					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
	· ·				
		·			
(Evaminar/SDE Signature)	Applicantia Bangagantating Ci	anatura if annuari-t->			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – ir appropriatė)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant amended independent claims 9 and 17 to include subject maters of dependent claims 16 and 20, now canceled, which were indicated as allowable subject matters in the First Non-Final office action dated September 07, 2006. Independent claim 1 remains as originally filed. Independent claim 21 is currently amended to include the limitation "a non-electrical actuator response". The possibility of amending claims 1 and 21 to included allowable subject matters of, now canceled, claims 16 and 20 was discussed. Also, the possibility of adding the limitation " actuator responses such as force, heat, chemical emissions, and swellings" in to claims 1 and 21 was discussed. Furthermore, applicant's argument in page 9 and 10, which was filed on November 08, 2006, was discussed. Applicant's amendments and arguments were considered, arguments, however, agreement was not reached and a Final Action is issued.

JEFFERY HOFSAS\$

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600